A CHAFF L BUILDIO ADMITTED IN NH & ME)

F.EAL E. FRIEDMAN (ADMITTED IN MAN SCOTT DANIELS

LAW OFFICES DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C.

FOURTH FLOOR 500 NORTH COMMERCIAL STREET MANCHESTER, NEW HAMPSHIRE 03101 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

> TELEPHONE (603) 624-9220 FACSIMILE (803) 624-9229 E-mail: patent@davisandbujold.com

OF COUNSEL

A ITHONY G.M. DAVIS (ADMITTED IN NY ONLY)
VITCH PATENT AGENT (U.K.)
TOPEAN PATENT ATTORNEY

GARY D. CLAPP

LOSTON ADDRESS: P.O. BOX 67463 BIROOKLINE MA 02487

FACSIMILE TRANSMITTAL

TO: Arun K. Chakrabarti	FAX #: (703) 872-9307
COMPANY: U.S. Patent & Trademark Office - Grou	rp Art Unit #1634
FROM: Michael J. Bujold	TOTAL NO. OF PAGES SENT: 12
DATE: August 15, 2003	
REMARKS AND ENCLOSURES:	

In re Appin, of:

Gerhard HARTWICH

Seria#No.:

09/889.326

Filed: For:

with an effective filing date of January 7, 2000 METHOD FOR ELECTROCHEMICALLY DETECTING NUCLEIC ACID-

OLIGOMER HYBRIDISATION EVENTS

Group Art Unit: 1634

Examiner: Dock 4:

Arun K. Chakrabarti PATKRI POZAUS

RECEIVED:

Response-11 pgs.

CONFIDENTIALITY NOTE

the document(s) accompanying this telefaxed transmission contains information from the law firm of DAVIS & BUJOLD, P.L.L.C. which is confidential and/or privileged. The information is intended to be for the use by the individual or entity named on this transmission sheet. If you are not the intended recipient, be aware that any disclosure, copying distribution or use of the contents of this telefaxed information is prohibited. If you have received this transmission in error, please notify our office by telephone immediately so that we can arrange for the retrieval of the encloses documents at no cost to you.

Response Under 37 CFR 1 116 Expedited Procedure Examining Group: 1634 PATENT APPLICATION

3/15/03

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

n re Application of

Gerhard HARTWICH

Serial no.

09/889.326

Filed

with an effective filing date of January 7, 2000

For :

METHOD FOR ELECTROCHEMICALLY DETECTING NUCLEIC ACID-DLIGOMER

HYBRIDISATION EVENTS

PATKRI P02AUS

Group Art Unit

1634 Arun K. Chakrabarti

:

Oocket

The Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Nashington, D.C. 20231

RESPONSE

Dear Sir:

[XXX] NO FEES ARE PAYABLE WITH RESPECT TO THIS RESPONSE.

In response to the official action mailed July 15, 2003, please consider the following sefore reconsideration of this application.

REMARKS

Upon receipt of this response, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact the undersigned representative of the Applicant to arrange a telephonia interview concerning the inventive merits of this application.

Claims 127-167 and 171-197 are rejected, under 35 U.S.C. § 103(ε), as being impatentable over Meade et al. 369 in view of Vermeglio et al. Claims 168-170 are rejected, ander 35 U.S.C. § 103(a), as being unpatentable over Meade et al. 369 in view of Vermeglio et al., further in view of Harmer et al. 294. The Applicant acknowledges and espectfully traverses the raised obviousness rejections in view of the following remarks.